

Martingales (con't)

Recall Def: A stochastic process $\{X_n : n=0,1,2,\dots\}$ is a martingale if $E[|X_n|] < \infty \ \forall n$ and w.p. 1

$$E[X_{n+1} \mid \underbrace{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n}_{\substack{\parallel \\ \mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)}}] = X_n$$

Example 1: Unbiased random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ where $X_0=0$,

$$P_{i,i+1} = P_{i,i-1} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (\text{Actually true in any dimension } \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

Example 2: Let $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ be independent RVs. Let

$$X_0 = 0 \quad \& \quad X_n = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n \quad \text{for } n=1,2,\dots \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{OR} \\ X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{Then } X_{n+1} = X_n + Z_{n+1}.$$

$$E[X_{n+1} \mid X_0, \dots, X_n] = E[X_n \mid X_0, \dots, X_n] + E[Z_{n+1} \mid X_0, \dots, X_n]$$

$$= X_n + E[Z_{n+1}]$$

since $X_n \in \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$
since Z_{n+1} is indep of $X_0, \dots, X_n$

Thus, $\{X_n\}$ is a martingale iff

$$E[Z_1] = E[Z_2] = \dots = 0.$$

Example 3: Let $z_1, z_2, \dots$ be indep. RVs. Let $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$

Let $Y_n = e^{X_n}$. Then $Y_{n+1} = e^{X_{n+1}} = e^{X_n + z_{n+1}} = Y_n e^{z_{n+1}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_{n+1} | Y_0, \dots, Y_n] &= E[Y_n e^{z_{n+1}} | Y_0, \dots, Y_n] \\ &= Y_n E[e^{z_{n+1}} | Y_0, \dots, Y_n] \\ &= Y_n E[e^{z_{n+1}}] \text{ since } z_{n+1} \text{ is indep} \\ &\quad \text{of } Y_0, \dots, Y_n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{Y_n\}$ is a martingale iff

$$E[e^{z_1}] = E[e^{z_2}] = \dots = 1.$$

def: A stochastic process $\{X_n\}$ is a submartingale

if $E[|X_n|] < \infty \forall n$ and

$$E[X_{n+1} | X_0, \dots, X_n] \geq X_n.$$

def: Stoch. process $\{X_n\}$ is a supermartingale

if $E[|X_n|] < \infty \forall n$ and

$$E[X_{n+1} | X_0, \dots, X_n] \leq X_n.$$

* These names are arguably the reverse of what they should be intuitively.

So if $\{X_n\}$ is a martingale, then

$$E[X_n] = E[X_{n-1}] = \dots = E[X_0] \quad \text{or} \quad E[X_n] = E[X_0] \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Q. What about $E[X_\tau]$ where τ is a random time?

Is it still true that $E[X_\tau] = E[X_0]$?

Ans: No, in general.

RV
 $T(\omega)$ so really have
 $X_{T(\omega)}(\omega)$

def: A random variable τ (which takes on values $0, 1, 2, \dots$) is called a stopping time if the event $\{\tau = n\}$ only depends on $X_0, \dots, X_n$ and not on any future information (say X_{n+1} for instance).

- hitting time problems

Example 1: $\tau = \min\{n : X_n > 1\}$ is a stopping time.

$\{\tau = n\}$ means that $X_0 \leq 1, X_1 \leq 1, \dots, X_{n-1} \leq 1$

and $X_n > 1$, which only depends on $X_0, \dots, X_n$.

Example 2: $\tau = \max\{n : X_n > 1\}$ is NOT a stopping time. Consider $\{\tau = 1\}$. This means that $X_1 > 1$ and $X_2 \leq 1$, but this depends on future values of X_n .

- last exit problems

(last time a process hits a state or set of states)

Thm [Optional Stopping Theorem]: Consider a stopping time τ that is bounded above by a constant N s.t. $\tau \leq N$. If $\{X_n\}$ is a martingale, then

$$E[X_\tau] = E[X_N] = E[X_0].$$

Martingale Convergence

If $\{X_n\}$ is a martingale (or submartingale), will it converge a.s. to some random value?

Ans: In general, no.

e.g. Simple symmetric RW is a martingale, but it is null recurrent $\nexists$ has no stationary dist'n.

Result 2: Concerns submartingales (stochastic analogues of non-decreasing sequences): If they are bounded above, they converge to a limit a.s.

↳ known as the
Martingale Convergence Thm

Thm [Martingale Convergence]: Let $\{X_n\}$ be a submartingale. Suppose that $\sup_n E[|X_n|] < \infty$. Then there is a finite RV X s.t.

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X.$$

Example: Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ on non-negative integers, $X_0 = 50$, transition probabilities $P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2i+1}$, $0 \leq j \leq 2i$ and $P_{ij} = 0$ o.w.

That is, if $X_n = i$ then $X_{n+1} \sim \text{Uniform on } \{0, 1, \dots, 2i\}$

$$\begin{cases} X_0 = 50 = i \\ P_{50,j} = \frac{1}{2(50)+1} = \frac{1}{101} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq 100 \quad \frac{1}{2} \text{ so on.} \end{cases}$$

This MC is a Martingale (exercise to reader to check!)

It follows that $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$. & hence also a submartingale