

Renewal Theory - Limit Theorems (continued): §7.3 Models Book

Last time we showed that

$$\frac{N(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{1}{\mu} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ where } \mu = E[X_i]$$

and X_i 's i.i.d.
interevent times
in the renewal
process $\{N(t): t \geq 0\}$.

- Interpret $\frac{1}{\mu}$ as the rate of the renewal process
- The average time between renewals is μ .
 \Rightarrow average rate at which renewals occur is 1 per every μ time units.

Example 1: Beverly has a radio that works on a single battery. As soon as the battery fails, she immediately replaces it with a new battery. If the lifetime of a battery X is uniformly distributed over $(30, 60)$, then (in hours) at what rate does Beverly have to change batteries?

Ans: $N(t) = \#$ of batteries that have failed by time t .

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{45}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Since } \mu = E[X_1] = 45 \\ X_1 \sim U(30, 60) \text{ and } E[X_1] = \frac{1}{2}(30+60) = \frac{90}{2} = 45 \end{array} \right)$$

Thus, in the long run, she'll have to replace a battery every 45 hours.

Example 2: Suppose that Beverly doesn't keep any extra batteries on hand, and so each time a failure occurs she must go & buy a new one. If the amount of time it takes her to get a new one is uniformly distributed over $(0, 1)$, then what is the average rate that Bev. changes batteries?

Ans: In this case, mean time b/t renewals is

$$\mu = E[U_1] + E[U_2]$$

where $U_1 \sim U(30, 60)$ and $U_2 \sim U(0, 1)$.

$$E[U_1] = 45 \quad \leftarrow \text{as in Example 1}$$

$$E[U_2] = \frac{1}{2}(0+1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu = 45 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{91}{2}$$

Thus, the long run rate of changing batteries is $\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{2}{91}$.

↙ i.e. 2 new batteries every 91 hrs.

Elementary Renewal Theorem

$$\frac{m(t)}{t} = \frac{E[N(t)]}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

(when $\mu = \infty$, $\frac{m(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$)

Note: This is not a simple consequence of the previous result ($\frac{N(t)}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$).

Seems reasonable to think that since the average renewal rate converges to $\frac{1}{\mu}$, then the expected average renewal rate also converges to $\frac{1}{\mu}$... but we must be careful here!

In general,
 $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s.
 Does NOT IMPLY
 $E[X_n] \rightarrow E[X]$

See Example
 7.8 in
 Models

In order to prove the Thm above,
 we need an identity known as

Wald's Equation

To understand this, we need to
 introduce the concept of a

Stopping Time

def: The nonnegative integer-valued RV N is said to be a stopping time for a sequence of independent RVs X_1, X_2, \dots if the event $\{N=n\}$ is independent of $X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots \forall n=1, 2, \dots$

Main Idea: Suppose that the X_i 's are observed in sequence. N denotes the # observed before stopping. Because the event that we stop after having observed X_1, \dots, X_n can only depend on these n values, & not on future values, it must be independent of these future values.

Examples of Stopping Times

1. Toss a coin: $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p=\frac{1}{2})$
 $N = \min \{n : X_1 + \dots + X_n = 5\}$ is a stopping time.
 \uparrow # of trials needed to get 5 heads

Q. Is $N = \min \{n : X_1 + \dots + X_{\underline{n+1}} = 5\}$ a stopping time?

No!

Since here N depends on $n+1$
so $\{N=n\}$ depends on a future state

2. $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$.

$N = \min \{n : X_n = 1\}$ is a stopping time

$N = \min \{n : X_1 + \dots + X_n = 25\}$ is a stopping time

$N = \min \{n : X_n = X_{n-1}\}$ is a stopping time

$N = \min \{n : X_n = \underline{X_{n+1}}\}$ is NOT a stopping time

stopped

Wald's Equation

Lec 24 (1a)

If X_1, X_2, \dots is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with finite expectation $E[X]$, and if N is a stopping time for this sequence s.t.

$E[N] < \infty$, then

$$E\left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n\right] = E[N] E[X]$$

Pf: Details in book §7.3.